

# Developing Abilities to Solve Authentic Problems using Digital Technologies











# Developing abilities to solve authentic problems using digital technologies

#### The content is divided into the following subsections:

- Definition of authentic problems in the STEM-PATHWAYS project
- Guiding questions for assessing authenticity
- Criteria for authenticity, relating to three areas
- Criteria and descriptors for assessing the authenticity of the problem/learning situation
- Developing abilities to solve authentic problems
- Developing abilities to solve authentic problems with Digital Technologies (DT) along the vertical
- Phases of solving authentic problems

#### List of abbrevations:

DT - Digital Technology

**SL** – Scientific Literacy

ML – Mathematical Literacy

FL – Financial Literacy

**EP** – Educational Period

**SAP** – Solving Authentic Problems

NA-MA POTI - Scientific and Mathematical Literacy: The Development of Critical Thinking and Problem-solving



## **Definition of authentic problems under the NA-MA POTI [STEM PATHWAYS]** project

Authentic problems stem from life/real situations and encourage the following during the problem-solving process: critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, persistence, resourcefulness and the use of diverse knowledge and skills. They enable different problem-solving methods. The solutions and their presentations vary and are useful.

#### **Guiding questions for assessing authenticity**

We ask ourselves which of the proposed questions can be answered affirmatively:

- Choice of initial problem:
  - Is the problem real/topical?
  - Does it have personal value for the child?
- Problem-solving pathway:
  - Is the problem-solving pathway not known/defined in advance for the learners?
  - Will the learners be able to solve the problem in several different ways?
- Learners' activity:
  - Does the problem-solving process encourage an independent search for pathways to solutions?
  - Does the problem-solving process encourage learners' creativity, persistence, resourcefulness and the application of diverse knowledge and skills?
- Solution to the problem:
  - Are multiple solutions possible?
  - Is the final solution to the problem useful for the problem solver or broader?

The STEM PATHWAYS project also focuses on:

- Supporting the SL, ML and FL building blocks:
  - Do the problem-solving activities develop science, mathematical or financial literacy?
- Using digital technologies:
  - Is the use of digital technologies a sensible form of support when solving an authentic problem?
  - Does the solving of an authentic problem involve activities that develop digital competences (of children/pupils/secondary school students) along the vertical according to DigComp 2.1?

#### Criteria for authenticity, relating to three areas:

- · Choice of initial problems
- Problem-solving pathway
- · Solution to the problem

## **Criteria and Descriptors for assessing the authenticity of the problem/learning exercise**

| AREA  | CRITERION        | DEGREE OF AUTHENTICITY   |  |   |  |
|---|------------------|--|--|---|--|
| ANEA  |                  | LOW  | MEDIUM   | HIGH  |  |
| Choice of initial problem:  Is the problem realistic/ current, does the result/ solution represent the fulfillment of the goals and purpose of the chosen learning set and does it have personal value for the student. | Context          | Task that isn't contextualized and only appears in a school setting.                                 | Realistic problem, with a somewhat simplified context.                                     | Realistic problem, put into a realistic context, that requires the utilization of a wide repertoire of skills and knowledge as well as a deeper understanding.  |  |
|   | Purpose          | There is no other purpose to the task except the school one  | Imagined (Simulated) problem with a simulated need   | Realistic problem with realistic consequences, i.e., varying degree of success  |  |
|   | Motivation       | The motivation is the grade, given by the teacher (external motivation)                              | Motivation is provided by the design of the task and it's results.                         | Motivation stems from the attractiveness of<br>the challenge provided by the task and from<br>the satisfaction of the "users" or "public" (inner<br>motivation)   |  |
| Problem-solving pathway  Are there multiple approaches to the solving the problem and does the problem have multiple solutions  | Cooperation      | No foreseen cooperation between students.  | Planned cooperation in the form of work groups.  | Cooperation and dialogue between the students, students and teachers as well as others is required.   |  |
|   | Control          | No access to sources and interactions, no possibilities of guiding the task, its outcome or purpose. | Some sources and interactions are available and the task can be guided to some extent.     | All sources and interactions are readily available and the task progress can be controlled in full.   |  |
|   | Disturbance      | No disturbances, obstacles or conflicts built into the task, the task provides only a "lab" setting  | Some small disturbances and obstacles are included into the task.                          | Disturbances and obstacles (technical, contextual, and interpersonal), which are common for these situations.   |  |
|   | Feedback         | No feedback during problem solving.  | Occasional, non-systematic "school" feedback, during the problem solving part of the task. | On-the-fly feedback from the teacher and classmates according to descriptive criteria arranged beforehand. Allows the students to advance, make mistakes and learn from them, to be critical of their work and to improve upon it. Final feedback comes from »client« satisfaction, |  |
|   |                  |  |  | possibly through descriptive criteria arranged beforehand.  |  |
| Is the final solution useful only for the solver or does it   | Feedback         | Number of points, percentage or numerical grade.   | Short descriptive feedback from the teacher.   | Final feedback from the teacher and the classmates in accordance with criteria arranged beforehand. The final feedback comes from client satisfaction.  |  |
| have broader applications   | User or Audience | User or audience not foreseen  | User or audience foreseen  | Real user or audience   |  |

### **Developing abilities to solve authentic problems**

The ability to solve authentic problems using digital technologies is the ability to recognize and understand problems; to independently research, use and synthesize diverse knowledge and digital technologies to solve problem situations in which the problem-solving method is not known/defined in advance; to critically evaluate the problem-solving procedures and results.

By systematically and procedurally developing the abilities to solve authentic problems, we are developing knowledge that learners perceive as sensible and that is important for their future lives. Knowledge that will help them to build a "big picture" of the world, or knowledge that will provide them with an in-depth understanding of the phenomena and relationships in the world. In authentic learning situations, learners face concrete challenges, including all the available tools and barriers. On their own – with reasonable support from the teacher – the learners discover and construct knowledge, and look for pathways to solving the problem. Under the STEM PATHWAYS project, we used descriptors for each period of the educational process to define the levels of attaining the ability to solve authentic problems, which the children/pupils/ secondary school students should reach at the end of each educational period.

# Developing abilities to solve authentic problems using digital technologies (DT) along the vertical

|  | KINDERGARTEN  | PRIMARY SCHOOL   |   |  | SECONDARY SCHOOL  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
|  | KINDLINGANILIN  | 1st EDUCATIONAL TRIAD  | 2nd EDUCATIONAL TRIAD 3rd EDUCATIONAL TRIAD   |  | SECONDART SCHOOL  |  |
| Levels of attaining digital competences  | <b>Level of helplessness 1 and 2:</b> simple tasks with support from others; independently and with support.  |  | Survival level 3: Ordinary tasks, simple problems, independently.   | Survival level 4: Tasks and precisely defined and unusual problems; independently and in accordance with one's needs.  | Level of overcoming barriers 5 and 6:  Diverse demanding tasks and problems; demanding tasks;  Providing support to others; adapting to others when performing demanding tasks.   |  |
| Ability to recognize and understand the problem; to independently research, use and synthesize diverse knowledge and digital technologies to solve the problem and to critically evaluate the problem-solving procedure and results. | Observes and recognizes the problem in a concrete situation. With help from the preschool teacher, the learner uses different methods and aids when looking for a solution. Thinks about the reasonableness of the procedure and the solution; can use DT to support problem solving and the presentation of solutions, with help from the preschool teacher. | Observes a problem situation and recognizes the problem.  With help from the teacher, uses different methods and tools when looking for solutions. Thinks about the reasonableness of the procedure and the solutions. Can use DT to support problem solving or the presentation of solutions, with help from the teacher. | Observes a problem situation, recognizes and understands the problem. When solving the problem, the learner uses different methods and aids (including the use of DT), and critically evaluates the procedure and the results. Presents the solutions and substantiates them. | Observes a problem situation, recognizes and understands the problem. Extracts the essence of the problem. Independently, also by using DT, looks up the required information and plans the problem-solving procedure. Solves the problem using effective strategies.  Critically evaluates the procedure and the results; presents the solutions, providing arguments; suggests improvements and changes. | Observes a complex (potentially hypothetical) problem situation. Extracts the essence of the problem. Independently, by using DT, looks up the required information and plans the problem-solving procedure. Chooses and substantiates an appropriate strategy; solves the problem; critically evaluates the procedure and the results. Presents the solutions, providing arguments, and suggests improvements and changes. |  |

## **Phases of solving authentic problems**

The solving of authentic problems envisages that learners go through different phases. The recommended phases are presented below. In practice it is not always possible or sensible to include all the mentioned problem-solving phases in a single activity. What matters is that we follow the order and, above all, that we make sure the implemented phases are well thought out and serve their purpose.

| PHASE   | ACTIVITIES  | DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES   | REFLECTION   |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| O. PRELIMINARY PREPARATION                                | The teacher prepares a virtual learning environment with activities for each phase of solving an authentic problem, providing instructions for learners (collaboration, reflection, defining success criteria, etc.).   | <ul> <li>Interactive online environments for collaboration and for monitoring the work through reflection (Moodle, MS Teams, Google Sites, SEESAW, etc.).</li> <li>Applications for forming groups: TeamUp.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| 1. INSIGHT INTO THE PROBLEM SITUATION (CONCEPTUAL DESIGN) | Learners discuss the problem; study the practices in their immediate surroundings and the existing material; extract the essence of the problem; brainstorm to solve the problem; take part in defining the success criteria.   | <ul> <li>Mind map tools, notice boards, blogs<br/>(Padlett, Lino, Bubbl.us, CmapTools, Popplet,<br/>Mindmeister, etc.).</li> <li>Use a smartphone to take photographs, record,<br/>scan.</li> </ul>                    | The learners' and teacher's reflection takes place throughout the SAP phases.  The teacher and the learners record, write, post and share audiovisual reflections and feedback on their progress in solving the authentic problem, on the challenges, and on their next steps. |  |
| 2. PLANNING   | Learners discuss and exchange opinions about different problem-solving possibilities; discuss and exchange research ideas; contemplate the feasibility (accessibility of the required records, materials, requisites, chemicals; collaboration with external experts; time) and prepare an action plan. | <ul> <li>Tools for editing shared documents (Google<br/>Drive, etc.).</li> </ul>   |  |  |

| PHASE             | ACTIVITIES  | DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES   | REFLECTION  |
|-------------------|---|--|---|
| 3. IMPLEMENTATION | Learners conduct research: through experiments, using survey questionnaires, collaborating with external experts and institutions.  Collaborate throughout, exchange shared documents.  Prepare a presentation, product, etc. | <ul> <li>World Wide Web, databases, collaboration tools, social networks (virtual library of Slovenia COBISS, YouTube, Facebook, Twitter, etc.).</li> <li>Computer-supported laboratory (Vernier interfaces and sensors), online surveys (Arnes Planer, Google Forms, Enka).</li> <li>Video conferences (Teams, Zoom, etc.), social networks (Facebook, Twitter, etc.). Exchange and co-creation tools (Arnes FileSender, Office 365, Google Docs, MS Teams, etc.).</li> </ul> | Tools for writing down and exchanging reflections   |
| 4. INQUIRY        | Learners collect feedback by asking experts, potential future users, classmates from other groups, and the teacher.   | <ul> <li>Video conferences (Zoom, MS Teams, Vox<br/>Arnes, etc.), social networks (Facebook, Twitter,<br/>etc.). Exchange and co-creation tools (Arnes<br/>FileSender, Office, etc.).</li> </ul>   |   |
| 5. IMPROVEMENT    | Supplement and upgrade the product/solution to the problem based on the feedback.   |  | (TeamUp, ReFlex, Pedpentool), online blogs (Bloger, Wordpress, Mahara e-Portfolio, etc.). |
| 6. PRESENTATION   | Learners present the solutions, providing arguments; critically evaluate the results and suggest improvements to the problem-solving procedure.   | <ul> <li>Presentation tools (Prezi, Powerpoint,<br/>Slideshare, Google SketchUp, YouTube, etc.).<br/>Knowledge assessment tools (Moodle Quiz,<br/>online questionnaires – Google Forms, Arnes<br/>Planer, AKF Kviz, Socrative, Kliker, Nearpod,<br/>etc.).</li> </ul>  |   |

Collection NA-MA POTI ISSN 2820-4182

Collection editor: Jerneja Bone

#### **Developing Abilities to Solve Authentic Problems using Digital Technologies**

Original title: Razvijanje zmožnosti reševanje avtentičnih problemov z digitalnimi tehnologijami

Authors: Anita Poberžnik, Goran Bezjak, Lidija Jerše, Simon Brezovnik, Andreja Klančar, Nik Stopar, Doroteja Smej Skutnik, Felicita Zupančič, Jurij Bajc, Matija Lokar, Vida Manfreda Kolar, Špela Rožanc, Radovan Kranjc, Robert Repnik, Petra Čeh, Amalija Žakelj, Ana Triller, Eva Klemenčič, Mara Cotič, Mateja Ploj Virtič

Translation: Ensitra prevajanje, Brigita Vogrinec Škraba, s. p.

Design: Simon Kajtna

Layout: ABO grafika, d. o. o., Igor Kogelnik

Published by: Zavod RS za šolstvo Representative: dr. Vinko Logaj

On-line edition Ljubljana, 2022

Available: www.zrss.si/pdf/Resevanje\_avtenticnih\_problemov\_gradniki\_ANG.pdf









The investment is co-financed by the Republic of Slovenia and the European Union under the European Social Fund.

Publication was created in a project NA-MA POTI, 2016–2022, project leader: Jerneja Bone.

Kataložni zapis o publikaciji (CIP) pripravili v Narodni in univerzitetni knjižnici v Ljubljani COBISS.SI-ID 120134403

ISBN 978-961-03-0719-8 (PDF)



